How evaluation & community governance made a difference to planning and decision making in a small community

Evaluation - What is the use?

AES International Conference New Zealand

17 September 2003

© d-sipher pty Itd

Ellen Vasiliauskas d-sipher pty ltd



Ph: +64 7 5455 4207 ellenv at d-sipher.com.au

Overview

- Global & local contexts
- What is community governance?
- The Noosa case study
- Use of evaluation & evidence based methods
- Who has benefited and who has not?

Global context for community governance

"New forms of governance will be needed over the next few decades which will involve a much broader range of active players"

Organisation for Economic Co-operation & Development

Overseas trends

The key elements of shifts in rural policy in OECD countries overseas are around:

" - decentralisation of policy administration and, within limits, policy design to those levels;

- increased use of partnerships between public, private and voluntary sectors in the development and implementation of local and regional policies."

The Future of Rural Policy Conference in Siena, Italy July 2002 From sectoral to place-based policies in rural areas OECD 25-06-2003

	Local context - Community participation placed on government reform agenda
1980's:	 Government recognised it could not solve the increasingly complex social, economic, environmental & attitudinal factors when planning for a sustainable future.
1990's:	 Changing Australian policy with framework of federal, state & local govt. microeconomic reform.
2001:	 Local government IPA specifies areas of community consultation.
2002:	 Who will take care of the planning at the local level across all the other sectors? – <u>Social</u>, <u>Environmental</u> and <u>Economic</u>.

Changing role of Local government

Local government:

- Closer to communities than other levels of government
- An emphasis on local implementation of federal & state policies
- Changing role from roads, rates & rubbish to facilitators (1980's).



What is community governance?

'Governance has to do with institutions, processes & traditions for dealing with issues of public interest.'

> Understanding Community Governance 1999 Local Government NZ Conference

Use of evaluation & community governance – The Noosa case study



Some information about Noosa

- Small regional coastal location on Sunshine Coast in Queensland – 1.5hrs North Brisbane
- Population of 44,000 (2003)
- Main industries: tourism, construction, property & agriculture
- Balance of built & natural environment high % of national park
- Village atmosphere

Why did Noosa Council undertake community governance?

Council recognised

•'...the quality of life and wellbeing of the local Shire community relies on many sectoral interests,

•which are outside of Council and

•often driven by other competing priorities.'

Brief from Noosa Council for community governance project

Develop plans for the Noosa community to achieve sustainability & acceptable quality of life in:

- Social
- Arts & heritage
- Environment &
- Economic sectors.

A vision to the year 2015 but with recommendations on actions, responsibility for action and funding for the first 2-4 year increment.

Before the Community Governance Project

- Noosa Council has a history of community consultation - 20 yrs ago Council meetings opened to the community
- Existing consultative methods:
 - Limited to conventional methods 'let's hold a community workshop'
 - Consultation processes for development of Noosa Plan under Integrated Planning Act relied on community meetings, which had relatively low attendances
 - Multiple committees on minor issues
- Main responsibility for decision-making, prioritising and action rested with Council

Involvement & influence of a few – the squeaky wheels, the power brokers

Conflict v consultation

Sectoral interest groups competing for resources, and priorities

Deals done and trade-offs made

Control by misinformation/selective information



Little understanding of local issues







Limited consideration of regional or State level issues, directions and planning



Many datasets, reports & information held by Council & State government, & non-government sector

BUT these are generally poorly used, coordinated, or applied at the local level

- Limited local level data for planning
- Data collection:
 - lack of quality data
 - lack of timely data (1996 ABS Census)
 - is a significant task





Limited resources: financially & time



Development of Noosa Community Sector <u>Boards</u>

Call for community nominations against set criteria

Criteria: Some experience with planning & taking a strategic overview, preparedness to make a long term commitment, willingness to look more broadly & across sectoral interests.

No positions allocated to existing sector groups

Selection panel comprising Council, senior staff and some community members

Nine Community Board Members plus one elected Councillor & Senior Manager

ROLE:

"Develop plans to guide and direct the development of Noosa and its community to 2015"





Pros: attracts experts and focuses interest & discussion

Cons: needs integration to truly reflect TBL

Relationship between Sector Boards, Council & its committees



Evaluation methods – The Noosa case study

ETHICS - part of the quadruple bottom line	Values defined & agreed up front Adherence to ethical practices
	Use processes that reflect agreed community values
Use values led processes Honesty, openness, equity & procedural justice	Uphold procedural justice to ensure other voices are heard
	Set the climate, build group identity
	Identify & agree on principles up front – but remain flexible
Establish decision making processes	Will it be by consensus or voting?



OUTCOMES

Provides protocols & guide for all stakeholders

heard

flexible

Sectoral & factional interests increasingly disenfranchised

Limits effectiveness of power brokers

Allows representation of broader community opinion & balanced discussion

Use of evidence based methods Review & analysis of existing data & literature

Analysis of Australian Bureau of Statistics data

Analysis of existing government, community sector & Council data

Literature review to scope sectors & align with current theory



Broadens discussion across issues

Focus on the issue and not the myth

Raised level of community debate & discussion of issues

Provides supporting evidence to government agencies for local needs

Identifies where data is incomplete

Data & reference sources

- 1. Population & Australian Bureau of Statistics data (1996 & 2001);
- 2. Market Facts survey of Noosa Shire residents (2000);
- 3. Health indicators of SE Qld (2001);
- 4. Housing data (rental & bonds 2002);
- 5. Noosa Council Reports- Demographic Report 2002, Choosing Futures Report 2002;
- 6. Consultation with Council staff;
- 7. "A guideline for integrating community wellbeing in planning" (LGAQ, Dec 2001);
- 8. "Just, vibrant & sustainable communities" (A framework for progressing & measuring community wellbeing) LGCSAA Townsville 2001;
- 9. Anecdotal information and feedback from community service providers .

Use of modelling

OUTCOMES

Focuses, defines parameters of discussion

Provides structure & can elevate the discussion to the strategic level

Makes the discussion manageable

Makes communication easier & builds shared understanding

'Model for progressing social cohesion & community wellbeing in Noosa Shire'





Benchmarking

Benchmark local area to broaden understanding & provide national & global context



Provides a relative & 'realistic' picture of situation & performance

Acknowledgement of regional, national & global influences

How Noosa's indicators were benchmarked



Growth rates - Benchmarked



Average appual		avge personal
Average annual	Buderim	30466
1000	Yandina	30234
income - 1999	🖌 Noosa Hds	28804
	Alex Hds	28050
	Kawana	27968
	τνιοοιοοιαρα	2/310
	Nambour	27252
	Noosav ille	26991
	Caloundra	26805
	Cooroy	26788
	Woomby e	26622
	Glass House Mtns	26368
NOOSA	Sunshine Mooloolah	26164
LOCATIONS	Coast avge Coolum Beach	26084
LOCATIONS	Maleny	26059
	Eumundi	25883
	Landsborough	25750
	Palmwoods	25676
	Maroochy dore	25629
	Gympie	25595
	Beerburrum	25557
	Eudlo	25268
	Beerwah	25124
$D_{\rm min} = \Phi_{22} 400$	Tew antin	24960
Brisbane $=$ \$32,406	Kenilworth	24945
Gold Coast $=$ \$28,375	Pomona	24680
	Cooran	24544
Sunshine Coast= \$26,251	Marcoola	23443
	DESR, 2001 Kin Kin	22263

Age distribution profile

Noosa Shire Segments Vs Australian Average



ABS 1996 Census, Community Profiles

Development of indicators

Initially limited as based on available data

Reflected key themes in model or main strategy areas



Provides initial benchmarks to measure comparative performance over time

Social Component	Initial indicators
Wellness	Age of population
	Community health index
	Hospitalisation rates
	Welfare index
	Average annual income
Social capital	Population mix, growth & stability (length of residence)
	Housing affordability
	Number of volunteer groups
	Crime rates
	Infrastructure
Community	Participation in Council elections
governance	Community perceptions of Council
	Evaluation of participant satisfaction with community
	governance
Learning	Dropout rates in schools
	Levels of literacy
	Council staff survey
	 Cultural change – how would we measure this?

Our Sav

Sector boards help to map our shire's future direction

A MULTITUDE of brilliant ideas have been buzzing around the shire

These ideas have been presented through workshops over the last three weeks at the Noosa Shire Community Sector boards.

The social workshop, to be held tonight and tomorrow, completes council-initiated sector boards the round of meetings which have are the first of their kind in Ausincluded the economic, arts, heri- tralia, tage and environment boards (the tourism board has finished its consultations and is now implementing the plans).

The boards are made up of nine community members, a council representative and senior staff member.

Their overall focus is to develop plans for how we want to be in 2015.

The groups were formed last September when council, through a classified ad, called for the participation of interested volunteers.

Since then, the groups have researched and developed discussion papers and draft plans.

At these latest meetings, the boards presented draft plans of their strategies and options.

Like the numbers and type of ability' people attending these meetings, the response has been diverse and lively.

I attended the economic board meeting at Cooroy and the Arts meeting at Noosaville.

The economic board painted a picture of our present circumstances through a detailed and and see the brave new world of broad range of social and busi- new-word meanings.

night could keep at least 100 people away from the arts meeting. The meeting ran to the prescribed format and many voices added their opinions to the draft plan. No doubt, the social board

meetings will be just as vigorous. As far as it is known, these

The expertise and work of the boards have provided us with the information to engage in informed debate.

The community consultation process has given us the means to participate in shaping our future.

The presentations and range of community debate have been extraordinarily stimulating and a times provocative.

Undoubtedly, this process leads to immeasurable community benefits both now and towards

ONE of the things I noticed in these meetings was a prolifera tion of a new style of jargon: for example, buzzwords like "com munity governance", "social cap ital", "branding" and "sustain-

The community sector boards project manager, Ellen Vasiliauskas, has agreed to help our understanding of this terminology, by providing the meaning to at least one of these new words each week

Look on this page next Friday

Council News Your chance to help Noosa thrive

Community governance will help guide Noosa's future

ast September, council spon- through National Parks. sored five community sector boards, each made up of at novative approach to planning least nine volunteer community tembers, plus an elected ouncillor and a senior staff ember.

The boards have been reearching and discussing how to develop a sustainable economic. social, environmental, arts and heritage and tourism future.

Imagine if Noosa had taken no discussions. action to protect its natural ssets, had not restricted the www.noosa.qld.gov.au/noosaneight of building development 20 or so years ago and had not 5380. introduced innovative planning nd management strategies.

We may well have ended up with Club Med on the Spit, high rise on Hastings Street and roads

Council is continuing its inand managing the shire by initiating a "community governance" project to provide the community with a greater say and a further opportunity to consciously shape Noosa for future generations. Boards are now seeking more community and stakeholder input through a series of workshop

Register to attend online at

communityboards or phone 5449 VISION auskas For information phone Helen Noosa C Gregory on 5474 0522. Ellon is

by Noos regular - ELLEN VASILIAUSKAS Noosa N



Business and community have a voice BY ELLEN VASILLAUSKAS

Project manager of Noosa Community Sector Boards

HE Noosa community went one step further in driving the planning and direction of Noosa's economic future this winish

More than 70 community and business representatives participated in consultations on the draft. Community Economic Plan developed by Noosa's Community Economic Board. Representatives included the

Cooroy and Noosa Chambers of

Jim Berardo from the Hastings Street Association emphasised the need for the Economic Board to maintain a strong focus on Noosa's core and most successful business the hospitality and tourism industry and that this be added to the Board's tactics.

Further Sector Board consultations are being held in the coming weeks: Arts, Wednesday June 5, 6 to 9pm, Christian Outreach Centre, Childrens Church: Heritage, Thursday June 6, 9am to noon, Pomona School of Arts Hall; Environment, Thursday June 13, 6 to 9pm Christian Outreach Centre,

Local media drew on issues raised by governance process to encourage a **balanced** discussion on the population cap, housing, the knowledge economy etc.

Page 14, NOOSA NEWS, Tues



Conducted community consultations

Partnered with Arts QLD policy consultations – used Minister, attracted largest number of community participants, >200 local participants, media attention

Development of issues papers for informed community discussion



Synchronise limited resources - link with other projects, sources & outputs

Focused discussion, built on existing knowledge

Community added, affirmed/rejected issues & directions

Evaluation used to provide Boards with community feedback on issues papers Community evaluated each Sector Board's issues paper

Community commented on each Sector Board's issues paper



Identifies & highlights broader community opinion & enables balanced discussion

Builds community trust & confidence in process

Sectoral interests increasingly disenfranchised

Builds transparency, limits 'personalised opinions'

Relevance & coverage of Sector Board proposals



Conclusion

Evaluation & evidence based methods provide powerful tools for use in community engagement & planning processes.

They complement deliberative methods such as committees & workshops, providing a sound foundation for ethical, values-led planning, policy and economic development. How evaluation & community governance made a difference to planning and decision making in a small community

THANK YOU

AES International Conference New Zealand

17 September 2003

d sipher

Ellen Vasiliauskas d-sipher pty ltd Ph: +64 7 5455 4207 ellenv at d-sipher.com.au